1   Title: **REDUCTION OF NETWORK SERVER LOADING**

2   Inventors: **Howard Pfeffer** and **John Leddy**

3

4   **BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION**

5   1.   **Field of the Invention**

6   The present invention relates generally to the field of data networks. More

7   particularly, the present invention relates to reduction of traffic handling load on network

8   servers by decentralization of mail handling protocols.

9   2.   **Background Information**

10   Over the last twenty years, the demand for data network services has grown

11   rapidly. Many large networks have been built by a number of providers to meet the

12   voracious demand for bandwidth to handle data traffic.

13   Data networks are commonly used for *inter alia* transmission of electronic mail

14   messages (hereinafter e-mail). According to Post Office Protocol (hereinafter POP)

15   standard for e-mail handling, transmitted e-mail messages are routed to a centralized mail

16   server facility. Those e-mail messages are warehoused at the centralized mail server until

17   retrieved by their intended recipients. A user retrieves their e-mail messages from the mail

18   server by sending an inquiry message via the network to the mail server asking if there is

19   any mail stored there for them. Commonly these inquiry messages sent to the mail server

20   are known as "POP checks" because they check for mail according to the Post Office

21   Protocol. If the user's mail box is empty, then the mail server sends a negative response to

22   the user telling him so. On the other hand, if the mail server is storing mail messages for

23   the user, those messages are transmitted (in response to the POP check) to the user via the

24   network.

1   One problem with large data networks where the clients are connected at all times

2   without having to create a dial up connection is the large amount of network traffic due to

3   frequent POP checks that users make (or, more typically, that the users' computers makes

4   on the users' behalf) to see if there are any new e-mail messages waiting for them on the

5   mail server. The use of a POP3 mail system in a wide area network (WAN) may result in

6   a large amount of network traffic. This is not only a bandwidth problem, but also causes a

7   substantial loading on the servers across the network that have to handle and route all this

8   largely unproductive traffic. When a mail server is remote from the mail client, each

9   POP3 request may require numerous hops to transverse the network, and response must

10   travel the same distance.

11   It is largely unproductive traffic because the vast bulk of POP checks (over 90%,

12   typically) result in negative responses because POP checks are generated much more

13   frequently than the frequency with which e-mail messages arrive at the mail server. This

14   is very inefficient. Significant traffic handling server load reduction, and some bandwidth

15   savings, can be made if most POP checks are terminated close in the network to the

16   sender.

17   Thus, what is needed is a scheme for reducing the number of POP checks that are

18   transmitted over the network to the mail server.

19   Another e-mail related problem in networks is that the bandwidth demand resulting

20   from e-mail traffic is concentrated during certain times of the day. In particular, the

21   morning hours are a concentrated time for retrieval of e-mail messages from the mail

22   server. These e-mail-generated spikes in bandwidth demand present network management

23   challenges. One typical solution is to increase bandwidth capacity of the network to

24   accommodate demand spikes completely. Obviously, this is an expensive and inefficient

1   option because the added capacity will go largely unused (except in the event of demand

2   spikes). Another typical solution is to simply permit poor network performance during

3   periods when bandwidth demand spikes. Obviously, this option would be a source of

4   irritation to the users of the network.

5   Thus, what is needed is a scheme to accommodate e-mail retrieval traffic without

6   adding capacity that will go largely unused and without reducing network performance.

7   **SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION**

8   It is an object of the present invention to a scheme for reducing the number of POP

9   checks that are transmitted over the network to a POP mail server.

10   It is another object of the present invention to scheme to accommodate e-mail

11 retrieval traffic without adding capacity that will go largely unused and without reducing

12 network performance.

13   It is yet another object of the present invention to efficiently distribute e-mail

14 handled according to a POP system.

15   It is still another object of the present invention to push bandwidth demand and

16 traffic handling load toward the edges of a wide area network.

17   The present invention addresses this problem with two approaches that may be

18 used separately or together. The first is to attenuate the POP checks. The second is to

19 cache the e-mail messages.

20   Attenuation of POP checks is accomplished by intercepting each POP check packet

21 at a proxy server that is nominally local to where the user is located. The proxy server lets

22 the user's first POP check proceed on through the network to the mail server. Thereafter,

23 though, the proxy server only permits that user's received POP checks to proceed onward

24 according to a predetermined algorithm. For example, the proxy server may only permit a

1 POP check to proceed to the mail server if it has been at least fifteen minutes since the last

2 time the mail server was actually checked for e-mail by that particular user. When overly

3 frequent POP checks by that user are received prior to the permitted time, no actual check

4 of the mail server is permitted and the proxy server simply informs the user that he has no

5 mail (despite not knowing deterministically whether that is a true statement).

6 According to the cache aspect of the invention, a user's e-mail is cached at the

7 proxy server nearest to his presumed location. This decentralizes the e-mail storage away

8 from the mail server and spreads it out over the network at the various proxy servers. This

9 cache action is preferably done when there is a lull in network traffic (e.g., at night). This

10 can also be done as soon as mail is available so that the proxy deterministically knows that

11 a client has unread mail. This also has the effect of decentralizing the bandwidth demand

12 on the overall network since the e-mail messages have a shorter distance to travel when

13 retrieved by the user from the cache location at the proxy server.

14 **BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE DRAWINGS**

15 Additional objects and advantages of the present invention will be apparent in the

16 following detailed description read in conjunction with the accompanying drawing figures.

17 **Fig. 1** illustrates a network diagram implementing proxy handling of e-mail

18 according to an embodiment of the present invention.

19 **Fig. 2** illustrates a logical implementation for a subset of the signaling transactions

20 that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server according to an

21 embodiment of the present invention.

22 **Fig. 3** illustrates a logical implementation for another subset of the signaling

23 transactions that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server according to

24 an embodiment of the present invention.

1   **Fig. 4** illustrates a logical implementation for a subset of the signaling transactions

2   that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server according to an alternate

3   embodiment of the present invention.

4   **Fig. 5** illustrates a logical implementation for a subset of the signaling transactions

5   that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server according to a further

6   alternate embodiment of the present invention.

7   **Fig. 6** illustrates a logical implementation for a subset of the signaling transactions

8   that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server according to another

9   alternate embodiment of the present invention.

10   **Fig. 7** illustrates a logical implementation for a subset of the signaling transactions

11   that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server according to still another

12   alternate embodiment of the present invention.

DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION

14   Typical e-mail client programs support a feature that performs automated mailbox

15   checking at configurable intervals. A user may set their e-mail program to query for new

16   mail every five minutes, for example. The "always on" characteristic of a broadband

17   Internet service enables a subscriber to leave their e-mail grogram running and

18   continuously polling for mail. This adds further to the load of POP3 packets on the

19   service provider's network. And, while polling intervals will vary, empirical observation

20   shows that over 90% of the mailbox queries return no new mail. This problem of empty

21   POP checks is addressed by the attenuation aspect of the present invention.

22   Attenuation of POP checks is accomplished by a proxy server, which implements a

23   software proxy. The proxy server intercepts POP requests that originate with its locally

24   situated network component. As a proxy, it responds to a majority of these POP checks

1   based on its most recent knowledge of the state of the requestor's actual mailbox. The

2   proxy server uses an "attenuation interval" of n minutes. Thus, every n minutes it will

3   allow a mail query session (i.e., POP check) to flow through to the actual mail server. If

4   the mailbox is empty after this session, the proxy server will note that, and will return

5   "mailbox empty" responses to all POP checks for that mailbox for the next n minutes,

6   after which it will permit the subsequent POP check packet to flow through for that

7   mailbox, and so continue the pattern.

8   Generally speaking, the proxy server permits a user's first POP check to proceed

9   on through the network to the mail server. Thereafter, though, the proxy server only

10   permits that user's received POP checks to proceed onward according to a predetermined

11   algorithm. For example, the proxy server may only permit a POP check to proceed to the

12   mail server if it has been at least fifteen minutes since the last time the mail server was

13   actually checked for e-mail by that particular user. When overly frequent POP checks by

14   that user are received prior to the permitted time, no actual check of the mail server is

15   permitted and the proxy server simply informs the user that he has no mail (despite not

16   knowing deterministically whether that is a true statement).

17   While it is a worthy object to moderate the amount of traffic handling that is

18   required by the servers in a network, the attenuation solution should be implemented with

19   respect for the concerns of the e-mail users. Under certain circumstances, an e-mail user

20   may observe that the attenuation algorithm as described above may cause some delay in

21   how quickly they receive their e-mail messages. The user may perceive this not as an

22   optimization but, rather, as poor service. Accordingly, there is a need to accommodate the

23   expectations of the e-mail users to the extent possible.

One simple way to avoid user perception of delay service of e-mail messages is to set the interval of n minutes at which POP check flow through is permitted as low as possible. In fact, the value of n can be set dynamically, based on overall network load, so that it is low when network load is light and set higher when network load peaks.

An optional feature of this attenuation process is that a custom SMTP extension may provide an event service whereby the proxy server can receive notification when new mail arrives for any mail account on the mail server for which it is acting as an attenuation proxy. In case of such an event notification, it will permit the subsequent POP check packet to flow through for that mailbox.

Another optional algorithm is to permit POP checks to flow through based upon the number of POP checks that have been received for a given e-mail account. In other words, only one out of every m POP checks is permitted to flow through to the mail server. For obvious reasons, this is not a preferred method. It is mentioned simply because of the ease with which it could be implemented.

The preferred attenuation algorithm is a combination of a time-based rule (i.e., wait n minutes before letting another POP check through) and a demand-based rule (i.e., let the next POP check through only if notice of actual mail receipt has been received from the mail server). Although the demand-based rule alone may appear to be adequate, the combination with a time-based rule ensures that e-mail still gets checked in the event that the notification message from the mail server is not sent or fails to be routed to the proxy server. The redundant use of the two rules provides a more robust system, and minimizes the chances of causing user dissatisfaction with e-mail service.

The attenuation aspect of the present invention preferably makes a deterministic assessment of whether an e-mail account being attenuated actually has any new e-mail

1   messages to be retrieved. One method is for the proxy server to snoop the actions of the

2   POP protocol and keep track of how many messages are read from the mail server and

3   how many were deleted from the mail server.

4   A simpler method to accomplish this is to wait until the e-mail client ends the e-

5   mail session. This happens when the e-mail client transmits a "quit" message. Rather

6   than letting the quit message flow through immediately, the proxy server temporarily

7   retains the quit message while it determines the status of that e-mail account. While the

8   quit command is being held, the proxy server sends a "stat" command to the mail server

9   asking, in essence, "Do you have mail for me?" If the answer received from the mail

10   server is "no," then the proxy server knows deterministically that the e-mail client has no

11   un-retrieved messages. This status is cached locally at the proxy server. Subsequently,

12   the quit message is permitted to travel onward through the network to the mail server.

13   One algorithm for implementing the attenuation aspect of the present invention

14   uses a set of tables. When a new user checks their e-mail account on the mail server (i.e.,

15   sends an initial POP check), the proxy server places that user in an attenuation table.

16   Assuming that the state of the user's mailbox is determined, a timer is started when the

17   user is placed in the table. The user remains in the table until the timer times out. Each

18   time the user transmits a POP check, their POP check is attenuated at the proxy server if

19   the user still remains listed in the table. In other words, until the timer times out and

20   removes the user from the attenuation table, that user's mail client software will continue

21   to receive pseudo responses to its POP checks telling the user that the mail server has no

22   mail for that account.

1   Another triggering event for removing the user from the attenuation table is if a

2   notification message is received from the e-mail server that the user has mail. By being

3   removed from the table, the user's next POP check is passed through to the mail server.

4   Every time the user is removed from the attenuation table (for whatever reason:

5   time out, notification, server reset, etc.) that user's next POP check is permitted to flow

6   through to the mail server. Concurrently, the transmission of that POP check places the

7   user back into the attenuation table and re-starts the timer. In this way, the user's own

8   actions re-establish the state in an attenuation queue.

9   In forming the tables in this implementation, the username information is cached.

10   To avoid the need to perform linear searches, a hash table algorithm is used. Each hash

11   entry in the table is a fixed length value, e.g., a five hash, created according one of plural

12   hash algorithms that are well known in the database art. These hashes are used as keys for

13   entry in a hash table. The first time a POP check is seen from a user, that user's username

14   is hashed and entered as an entry in the hash table. The hash table uses the key-value pair.

15   The key is the hash, and the value is the pointer to a small data structure somewhere in the

16   proxy server's memory. The data structure contains the username in clear text, as well as

17   the user's password in clear text. The data structure also may contain information

18   indicating the state of the user's mailbox, and a value indicating the elapsed time left for

19   that user.

20   This implementation also uses another table, which is an ordered table that

21   indicates which one of the hash values (indicative of the individual users) will expire next.

22   The ones that will expire soonest are placed at the top of the ordered table, and the ones

23   that will expire last are placed at the bottom of the ordered table. The ordered table may

24   be thought of as a timer list.

1   Thus, when a user first checks for e-mail, their username is hashed and the hash is

2   placed both in a hash table and at the end of the timer list ordered table. An algorithm

3   then regularly checks the timer list to see if one or more of the hashes at the top of the list

4   have timed out.

5   A more detailed discussion of this preferred implementation is discussed as

6   follows.

7   The attenuation algorithm has two main logical components -- a table of users and

8   a finite state machine. The finite state machine represents the logic that maps to any

9   particular combination of user state and POP3 command.

10   The user table is a hash table where the hash key is the user's mailbox account

11   name for the POP3 server that the proxy server is proxying. A user's entry in the table has

12   attributes, including mailbox password (may be encrypted or plain text), a time stamp of

13   the last time the mailbox was verified as empty, state (where the state is the user's current

14   state in the sequence of commands that make up a POP3 session), lock flag, terminate

15   flag, timeout field, etc.

16   **States, Events, and Actions**

17   What follows is a list of possible states for a POP3 attenuation session, and the

18   actions and state transitions that result from various events, where the main event type is a

19   POP3 command. A session associates a command with a mailbox username/usertable

20   entry; although the POP3 **user** command is the only command that carries that name, an

21   implementation mechanism can make this association for subsequent commands within

22   the session.

23   <u>None State</u>. Event: POP3 proxy server receives the **user** command, but there is no

24   corresponding entry in the user table. The POP3 proxy server creates a table entry for this

1   user, forwards the command to the POP3 mail server, and returns the response to the

2   client. The state transitions to Authorization.

3   Idle State. Event: POP3 proxy server receives the **user** command and finds a

4   corresponding entry in the table. Sends +OK response to the client. The state transitions

5   to Authorization.

6   Authorization State. Event: The POP3 proxy server receives the **pass** command.

7   • If the Lock flag equals true, send response: -ERR Your mail box is locked

8   by another POP3 session. The state remains as Authorization.

9   • If the password sent equals the password attribute in the user table entry

10   and the time elapsed since the last-time-mailbox-empty time stamp is less

11   than the global attenuation interval, set the terminate flag to true.

12   Additionally, set the Lock flag to true, start the Session Timer to wait for

13   possible timeout, and send a +OK response to the client. The state

14   transitions to Transaction.

15   • If the password sent equals the password attribute in the user table entry

16   and the time elapsed is greater than the global attenuation interval, set the

17   Terminate flag to false. Additionally, send the **user** command to the POP3

18   mail server, wait for a response, send the **pass** command, and wait for a

19   response. Return the response to the client. If the response from the server

20   is –ERR, the state does not change. If the response is +OK, the state

21   transitions to Transaction.

22   • If the password sent does not equal the password attribute in the user table

23   entry, send the **user** command to the POP3 server, wait for a response, send

24   the **pass** command, and wait for a response. Return the response to client.

- 11 -

1   IF the response from the server is –ERR, the state does not change. If the

2   response is +OK, save the new password; the state transitions to

3   Transaction.

4   Authorization State. Event: The POP3 proxy server receives the **quit** command.

5   The Lock flag is set to false. The state transitions to idle.

6   Transaction State. Event: The POP3 proxy server receives any of these commands:

7   • **stat** -- If the terminate flag equals true, the POP3 proxy server returns +OK

8   0 0. This tells the client that their mailbox is empty. If the terminate flag

9   equals false, the POP3 proxy server forwards the request to the POP3 mail

10   server and returns the response to the client. The state remains as

11   Transaction.

12   • **list** -- If the terminate flag equals true, the POP3 proxy server returns –ERR

13   no such message. If the terminate flag equals false, the POP3 proxy server

14   forwards the request to the POP3 mail server and returns the response to the

15   client. The state remains as Transaction.

16   • **retr** -- If the terminate flag equals true, the POP3 proxy server returns –

17   ERR no such message. If the terminate flag equals false, the POP3 proxy

18   server forwards the request to the POP3 mail server and returns the

19   response to the client. The state remains as Transaction.

20   • **dele** -- If the terminate flag equals true, the POP3 proxy server returns –

21   ERR no such message. If the terminate flag equals false, the POP3 proxy

22   server forwards the request to the POP3 mail server and returns the

23   response to the client. The state remains as Transaction.

1   • **noop** -- The POP3 proxy server returns +OK. The state remains as

2   Transition.

3   • **rset** -- The POP3 proxy server returns +OK. The state remains as

4   Transition.

5   • **top** -- If the terminate flag equals true, the POP3 proxy server returns –

6   ERR no such message. If the terminate flag equals false, the POP3 proxy

7   server forwards the request to the POP3 mail server and returns the

8   response to the client. The state remains as Transaction.

9   • **uidl** -- If the terminate flag equals true, the POP3 proxy server returns –

10   ERR no such message. If the terminate flag equals false, the POP3 proxy

11   server forwards the request to the POP3 mail server and returns the

12   response to the client. The state remains as Transaction.

13   • **quit** -- If the terminate flag equals true, the POP3 proxy server returns

14   +OK. The Lock flag is set to false, and the state transitions to Idle. If the

15   terminate flag equals false, the POP3 proxy server sends a stat command to

16   the POP3 mail server, to retrieve the number of messages now in the

17   mailbox. If the number is 0, the program sets the last-time-mailbox-empty

18   time stamp to the current time; else it sets the time stamp to NULL. Then it

19   sends quit to the POP3 mail server, and returns the result to the client. The

20   Lock flag is set to false, and the state transitions to Idle.

21   <u>Transaction State</u>. Event: The POP3 Attenuation Session Timeout Event. The

22 timer popped for a locally terminated session. The POP3 proxy server ends the session

23 connection. The Lock flag is set to false, and the state transitions to Idle.

24

1   **Garbage Collection**

2   The proxy server performs a garbage collection function. That is, at configurable

3   intervals, or in case of need, it may delete all inactive entries in its user table. A case of

4   need is defined, for example, as a user table that has become full. Other definitions are

5   possible.

6   After the garbage collection, the proxy server can rebuild its user table, as new

7   POP checks come in.

8   **Opt Out List**

9   The proxy server may optionally support an Opt Out List. This is simply a list of

10   user mailbox account names that won't be subject to proxy treatment. All POP3

11   commands for names on this list are passed through to the POP3 mail server.

12   **The Fast Check Case**

13   The proxy server may optionally support "fast check" logic to account for a case

14   where a user knows that he has new mail (through some non-POP3 source of knowledge,

15   as for example, when has just sent an e-mail to himself), and where he does rapid and

16   repeated new mail checks within a short interval, to get the mail as soon as possible.

17   The basic function of the "fast check" logic is to keep track of the time of each new

18   mail query of a user. If a certain number, say three checks, came through in a short

19   interval, such as 30 seconds, the third check could be permitted through to the mail server.

20   This would reward the user's persistence. However, if the user continued to check rapidly

21   after the third (where third is used as one example of a configurable value) check,

22   subsequent checks would be terminated locally until another, longer, interval had expired.

23   This would limit the effect of excessive, automated, or potentially malicious querying.

1   One way to discriminate the difference between fast checks that are the product of

2   persistent manual checking by the user and automated checks where the check interval has

3   been set unreasonably low (e.g., one every five seconds) is to assess the periodicity of the

4   checks. If the time interval T between the quickly repeated checks is metronomically

5   regular, then it is adjudged to be nothing more than automated checking. On the other

6   hand, if the time interval T varies substantially, then it is adjudged to be manual fast

7   checking. Some permissiveness is allowed for rewarding the persistence of a user who is

8   fast checking manually. However, fast automated checks are attenuated ruthlessly.

9   Referring to **Fig. 2**, a logical implementation for a subset of the signaling

10   transactions that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server is

11   illustrated. The signal transactions shown are appropriate for the case where the mail

12   client does not already exist in the table.

13   Referring to **Fig. 3**, a logical implementation for another subset of the signaling   ·

14   transactions that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server is

15   illustrated. The signal transactions shown represent the case where the mail client is being

16   attenuated (i.e., is in the table).

17   Referring to **Fig. 4**, a logical implementation for yet another subset of the signaling

18   transactions that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server is

19   illustrated, according to an embodiment of the present invention. The signal transaction

20   shown handles the situation where the client has changed their password.

21   Referring to **Fig. 5**, a logical implementation for still another subset of the

22   signaling transactions that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server is

23   illustrated, according to an embodiment of the present invention. The signal transaction

24   shown handles the situation where an erroneous password is used.

1   Referring to **Fig. 6**, a logical implementation for an additional subset of the

2   signaling transactions that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server is

3   illustrated. The signal transaction illustrated implements synchronization for cache in

4   transaction state (wherein messages have been cached).

5   Referring to **Fig. 7**, a logical implementation for a further subset of the signaling

6   transactions that take place between a client, a proxy server, and a mail server according to

7   an embodiment of the present invention. Possible synchronization for cache in transaction

8   state (messages have been cached)

9   Preferably, the present invention is implemented according to soft state principles.

10   Each proxy server should be able to build up its state from the information around it,

11   without need for extensive backing up of previous state information. From an initial state

12   of not knowing anything about user's or their accounts, the proxy server gradually learns

13   and builds a knowledge base, using only the algorithms it is programmed to implement

14   and observation of the POP mail processes that occur around it.

15   For example, when a first POP check for an e-mail account is received by the

16   proxy server (assuming it is starting from a no memory state), the proxy server permits the

17   POP check to flow through to the mail server and starts to build a list. The proxy server

18   determines the state of the mailbox and then keeps track of the state information. As this

19   process repeats for different users, the proxy server builds up a table of users and the state

20   of their respective mailboxes. This self-teaching aspect of soft state operation eliminates

21   the need for expensive state back-up resources to store state information for later retrieval

22   in the event of failure of the proxy server. A dead proxy server may simply be replaced by

23   a similar machine what will teach itself what it needs to know.

1	E-mail traffic load varies with time. There is little that can be done to control

2	when e-mail messages are transmitted to a POP mail server. However, there is some

3	opportunity to manipulate the traffic patterns for how and when e-mail is distributed from

4	the mail server to the intended recipients. This may be exploited to minimize peak traffic

5	loads to the extent that the load is caused by retrieval of e-mail messages.

6	According to the cache aspect of the invention, a user's e-mail is cached at the

7	proxy server nearest to his presumed location. This decentralizes the e-mail storage away

8	from the mail server and spreads it out over the network at the various proxy servers. This

9	cache action is preferably done when there is a lull in network traffic (e.g., at night). This

10	also has the effect of decentralizing the bandwidth demand on the overall network since

11	the e-mail messages have a shorter distance to travel when retrieved by the user from the

12	cache location at the proxy server.

13	By this cache action, the user's mail is moved out to the edges of the network

14	ahead of when the user will be seeking to retrieve it. In a sense, the mail messages are

15	pushed to the user, at least part of the way, to a proxy server near where it is presumed that

16	the user will connect to the network when he seeks to retrieve his e-mail. This

17	presumption will not always be accurate, however, since users are free to connect to the

18	network at geographically diverse points (e.g., when they are traveling). More often than

19	not, though, the presumption should be accurate.

20	One algorithm for choosing which proxy server to push a given user's e-mail to is

21	to always assume that the same proxy server (entered in the user's profile as their "home"

22	proxy server) will be appropriate. This algorithm does not adapt to a user's changing

23	position. This algorithm relies only upon a default user profile as a reference, not a

24	dynamic state table.

1   Another algorithm for choosing which proxy server to push a given user's e-mail

2   to is to select the proxy server that is the most proximate to the last known point on the

3   network where the user accessed the network. This algorithm is dynamic and adapts to a

4   user's changing geographic position. However, this algorithm is not completely consistent

5   with a soft state approach where there is no reliance upon keeping a memory of previous

6   state information. In the event of a loss of state information, the system may default to the

7   algorithm described in the preceding paragraph.

8   In the event that the mail cache algorithm is wrong and sends email to the wrong

9   proxy server to be cached, the user logging onto the network at another place (and

10   interacting via an entirely different proxy server) may still retrieve the messages from the

11   mail server. That is because the mail server does not delete the messages from its memory

12   when it pushes the messages out to a selected proxy server. Until retrieved (from one

13   server or another), the messages are redundantly stored on both servers simultaneously.

14   This redundant storage of e-mail messages raises issues of possible

15   unsynchronized states. An unsynchronized state occurs when a user has read and deleted

16   some messages from one server, but redundant copies of those messages remain stored on

17   another server. This could result in a confusing situation where the user will retrieve a

18   message that has already been retrieved and deleted in a previous e-mail session. To avoid

19   such confusing occurrences, a synchronization algorithm may be used.

20   A synchronization algorithm according to one embodiment of the present invention

21   makes use of unique identifiers (UIDs) that are assigned to each message. When e-mail

22   messages are retrieved from a server (either from a proxy server or from the centralized

23   mail server), a synchronization handshake occurs between the mail server and the relevant

24   proxy server.

- 18 -

1   For example, if cached messages are retrieved from a proxy server, a

2   synchronization inquiry is sent from the proxy server to the mail server inquiring whether

3   the mail server contains messages in that user's mail box with the UIDs that match those

4   of messages that the user has just retrieved locally. If there is identity of UIDs between

5   the proxy server's cache mail box and the mail server's central mail box, then the user is

6   served the locally cached copies of the messages. When the user deletes a message, that

7   message is deleted from both servers virtually simultaneously (allowing for transmission

8   delay across the network). However, if there is a difference between the UIDs of

9   messages residing on the two servers, the locally cached messages are thrown away and

10   the messages stored at the mail server are retrieved for the user. In this manner, any

11   confusion or conflict is avoided.

12   Referring to **Fig. 1**, a network diagram illustrates how proxy handling of e-mail

13   may be implemented according to an embodiment of the present invention. An e-mail

14   message originates from an originator client **20**, addressed to the e-mail account of an

15   intended recipient client **40**. The e-mail message travels from the originator **20**, via a

16   network **10**, to an e-mail server **30**. The process by which the e-mail message travels from

17   the mail server **30** to the recipient **40** follows one of two general scenarios.

18   In the event that the recipient **40** is not operating their e-mail client software

19   contemporaneously with the receipt of the e-mail message by the mail server **30**, the e-

20   mail message may be cached. When traffic load on the network ebbs, the e-mail message

21   is cached at a proxy server **50**, which is nominally local to the recipient **40**. When the

22   recipient **40** subsequently initiates their e-mail client software, the recipient transmits a

23   POP check (via the network **10**) that is intercepted by the proxy server **50**. In reply to the

24   POP check, the proxy server **50** transmits to the recipient **40** the cached e-mail message

- 19 -

1 and informs the e-mail server **30** that that message may be deleted from the e-mail server's

2 memory.

3 In the event that the recipient **40** is operating its e-mail client software

4 contemporaneously with the receipt of the e-mail message by the mail server **30**, the e-

5 mail message is retrieved from the e-mail server **30** subject to any attenuation activity that

6 the proxy server **50** may perform. When the proxy server **50** permits a POP check from

7 the recipient to pass through to the e-mail server **30**, the e-mail server **30** transmits the e-

8 mail message over the network **10** directly to the recipient **40**.

9 The present invention has been described in terms of preferred embodiments,

10 however, it will be appreciated that various modifications and improvements may be made

11 to the described embodiments without departing from the scope of the invention. The

12 scope of the present invention is limited only by the appended claims.